

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

KYRA EVANS,

Defendant.

No. CR18-251-RSL

**ORDER OF FORFEITURE**

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the United States' Motion for Entry of an Order of Forfeiture ("Motion") seeking to forfeit, to the United States, the Defendant Kyra Evan's interest in the following property:

A sum of money in the amount of \$280,200, representing proceeds the Defendant obtained from her commission of the Conspiracy offense. The United States agrees it will request the Attorney General apply any amounts it collects toward satisfaction of this forfeited sum to the restitution that is ordered. The United States also agrees that any amount the Defendant pays toward restitution will be credited against this forfeited sum.

The Court, having reviewed the United States' Motion, as well as the other papers and pleadings filed in this matter, hereby FINDS entry of an Order of Forfeiture is appropriate because:

- The proceeds of Conspiracy, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371, are forfeitable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c);
- In her plea agreement, the Defendant agreed to forfeit the above-identified sum of money pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), as it reflects proceeds she obtained from her commission of the Conspiracy offense (Dkt. No. 8, ¶ 8); and,
- This sum of money is personal to the Defendant; pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure (“Fed. R. Crim. P.”) 32.2(c)(1), no third-party ancillary process is required before forfeiting it.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE COURT ORDERS:

1) Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), and her plea agreement, the Defendant’s interest in the above-identified sum of money is fully and finally forfeited, in its entirety, to the United States;

2) Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(b)(4)(A)-(B), this Order will become final as to the Defendant at the time she is sentenced; it will be made part of the sentence; and, it will be included in the judgment;

3) No right, title, or interest in the identified sum of money exists in any party other than the United States;

4) Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(e), in order to satisfy this sum of money, in whole or in part, the United States may move to amend this Order, at any time, to include substitute property having a value not to exceed \$280,200; and,

///

///

1           5)     The Court will retain jurisdiction in this case for the purpose of enforcing  
2 this Order, as necessary.

3  
4           IT IS SO ORDERED.

5  
6           DATED this 22nd day of October, 2020.

7  
8           

9           Robert S. Lasnik  
10          United States District Judge

11  
12       Presented by:

13  
14       /s/ Michelle Jensen  
15       MICHELLE JENSEN  
16       Assistant United States Attorney  
17       United States Attorney's Office  
18       700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220  
19       Seattle, WA 98101  
20       (206) 553-2619  
21       [Michelle.Jensen@usdoj.gov](mailto:Michelle.Jensen@usdoj.gov)